

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,112		07/11/2003	Akira Miyake	1232-5081	1232-5081 4097	
27123	7590	09/30/2005		EXAMINER		
		NEGAN, L.L.P.	KAO, CHIH CHENG G			
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1.2 11 1010	-, -, -			2882		
				DATE MAILED: 09/30/2009	DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/618,112	MIYAKE ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Chih-Cheng Glen Kao	2882						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 		in the final rejection, wh	ichover is later. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			110 133403 101					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	at he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	, ,,	•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) EDWARD J. GLICK SUPERVISOR PATENT EXAMINER Continuation of 3. NOTE: Regarding claim 1, the limitations of "a first detector detecting an intensity of a diffraction light of a predetermined order, of said plurality of diffraction lights, which is not being reflected by an object to be measured" and "a second detector for measuring an intensity of a diffraction light of an order different from the predetermined order, of said plurality of diffraction lights, which is being reflected by the object to be measured" raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.